ELECTRICITY
THE 2ND GREATEST INVENTION SINCE THE WHEEL

The Atlantic magazine’s November 2013 issue featured a James Fallows article on “The 50 Greatest Breakthroughs Since the Wheel” in which a panel of scientists, historians and technologists ranked the top innovations since the wheel. Electricity ranked second.

Fallows included electricity among the category of innovations “that are integral to the physical and operating infrastructure of the modern world.” Yet the benefits of electricity extend beyond our physical infrastructure, beyond providing an ability for us to air condition our homes (#44).

Electricity is also a major contributor to many of Fallows’ other categories of innovations such as:

- Innovations that expand the human intellect
- Innovations that enabled the Industrial Revolution
- Innovations that allow real-time communications
- Innovations extending life

The necessity and utility of electricity for innovations within each of these categories is self-evident. No personal computers (#16), the Internet (#9) or semiconductor electronics (#4) without electricity. No industrial steelmaking (#19) or refining of oil (#35) without electricity. No Internet (#9), telephone (#24) or television (#45) without electricity. No life-extending refrigeration (#13) without electricity.

In fact, in ranking electricity as the second greatest breakthrough since the wheel, Fallows noted “And then there was light” – and Nos. 4, 9, 16, 24, 44, 45 and most of the rest of modern life.”

An underlying factor in all the innovations addressed in The Atlantic article is the contributions made toward the quality of life ~ their ability to elevate the human condition. Here, again, the benefits of electricity cannot be understated.

Coal’s role in providing affordable, reliable and abundant electric power has been well documented by the National Coal Council in its many reports to the Secretary of Energy. The beneficial electrification of coal opens the door to utilizing America’s most valuable resource to enhance the life of our citizens as well as our global neighbors.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) recently documented the expanding role of coal in meeting the energy needs of 600 million people in ASEAN in which 7 of the 10 nations represented have a Human Development Index of less than 0.7 compared to .94 in the U.S. and .92 in Germany. In ASEAN, 134 million people have no electricity and 184 million do not have improved sanitation.

For these folks, the post-wheel breakthroughs afforded by electricity and its many follow-on benefits are just beginning to be realized. Given that the Asia Pacific region is home to 31% of the world’s coal, and just 3% of its oil and 8% of its natural gas, it seems apparent that innovations will stem from electrification of coal.

You can continue to read all about those developments in The Atlantic and elsewhere, compliments of the #1 innovation ~ the printing press. If you want to read about it at night, you’ll need that #2 innovation.
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CTX (Coal to X)

The Value of Coal Conversion

Join us for a one-day forum highlighting existing and prospective technologies and the economic opportunities available through coal conversion.

February 26th, 2014
United States Energy Association
Executive Conference Room
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Ste. 550 ~ Washington, DC

Coal produces 40% of electricity in the U.S. Its value, however, extends beyond power generation. Coal is a versatile resource that can be gasified and converted into a vast array of valuable products such as:

Transportation Fuels
Urea & Other Fertilizers
Chemicals
Industrial Gases
Hydrogen
Pipeline Synthetic Natural Gas

8-9 am Registration & Breakfast
9-9:45 am Keynote Address
10-11:30 am Economics & Policy
11:45 am-1 pm Luncheon Keynote Address
1:15-2:45 pm University R&D Initiatives
3-4:30 pm Industry Initiatives
4:30 pm Adjourn

For further information
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jgellici@NCC1.org ~ 202-223-1191
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CTx Forum Agenda
There is no charge to attend. Pre-registration is required.
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WHO KNEW?*
UK Does Energy Research in a Big Way

In the Welsh language, “caer” means fortress, fort, citadel or castle. At the University of Kentucky’s Center for Applied Energy Research, CAER is indeed a stronghold and refuge for energy research.

CAER was established in 1972, even before the U.S. Department of Energy was created (1977). The variety and depth of initiatives underway at UK is impressive to say the least. What’s even more impressive is CAER’s stated mission, which reads in part:

The world employs a mix of energy resources - oil and gas, coal, sun, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, and nuclear. We harness, burn, produce heat and electricity, liquid fuels, chemicals and gases from these energy resources. In turn, they contribute to our quality of life, health, nutrition, comfort, convenience, leisure, transportation, communication and productivity.

Among our most important aims is to assure that the benefits of investigations, research and study are applied, made available to the public and brought into the widest possible use.

From the Center, through its technology innovation and service to the community, contributes to improving the lives of Kentuckians by creating jobs and economic opportunities; by sustaining vital industries and public services; and by improving energy efficiency and protecting the environment.

http://www.caer.uky.edu/

Among the many coal-associated efforts underway at CAER are those related to coal conversion, including coal-to-liquids and coal-to-chemicals via the Fischer-Tropsch process. We’re delighted that NCC Member Rodney Andrews, Director of CAER will be presenting at our February 26th Coal-to-X: Coal Conversion Forum. I can’t even begin to describe or cover the mind-boggling number of coal research projects underway at CAER. Fortunately, I know that Dr. Andrews can.

2014 Membership Contributions & Chair’s Advisory Committee
Your Support is Appreciated & Valued

It’s that time of year again ~ time to show your support for the good work of the National Coal Council through your membership contributions. As is the case with many other organizations in the coal industry these days, NCC has struggled financially and experienced a significant shortfall in 2013. We're working hard to curtail expenses and to identify opportunities outside of our “dues” revenue to raise funds.

Membership contributions for 2014 are due this month. Invoices went out in November; if you haven’t received yours, please let me know (jgellici@NCC1.org). Thank you to all who’ve already submitted their contributions this year. There are a number of other ways you can also support the NCC.

Join a Committee ~ We welcome your active participation in our Committees. Consider joining the Coal Policy Committee, the Communications Committee, the Membership Nominating Committee or the NCC Program Development Committee which is tasked with identifying and securing speakers for our biannual events.

Sponsor an Event ~ We’ll be celebrating our 30th Anniversary at our May 2014 meeting. The traditional 30th Anniversary symbol is a pearl ~ we’ve designed a variety of pearl sponsorships for those wishing to contribute to our celebration, ranging from $1,000 to $10,000.

Join the Chair’s Advisory Committee ~ Membership in the Chair’s Advisory Committee (CAC) is open to all levels of NCC members who elect to double their baseline dues support for the Council. CAC members are invited to participate in special events and activities, including NCC, Inc-hosted Executive Roundtable Sessions in which CAC members meet in small group discussions with key energy industry stakeholders. These invitation-only Roundtables are hosted in conjunction with each biannual meeting of the NCC, Inc. in Washington, DC. CAC members also receive special recognition at NCC events and in NCC publications/website. We invite you to join the following companies in their CAC support for NCC.

THANK YOU 2014 CHAIR’S ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS!
ADA-ES, Peabody Energy, PPL EnergyPlus & Southern Company
The Value of Coal Conversion
COAL: America's Energy Future

In March 2006, at the request of Energy Secretary Samuel Bodman, the NCC published a report identifying the challenges and opportunities of more fully exploring our domestic coal resources to meet the nation's future energy needs. The report included findings and recommendations that would use technology to leverage our country's extensive coal assets and reduce dependence on imported energy. As our nation moves toward assuming a greater role in supplying the energy needs of the world, it remains important for us to ensure our economic, energy and national security needs through the use of our abundant and affordable domestic resources.

The diversity of coal offers an opportunity for the U.S. to secure its needs for electricity, industrial gas, urea/fertilizers, chemicals, transportation fuels, hydrogen and pipeline synthetic natural gas. Among the key findings of the 2006 report: “Application of coal-to-liquids technologies would move the U.S. toward greater energy security and relieve cost and supply pressures on transportation fuels by producing 2.6 MMBbl/d of liquids. These steps would enhance U.S. oil supply by 10% and utilize an additional 475 million tons of coal per year.”

The report identified additional benefits associated with using captured CO2 for Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) applications noting that “One promising carbon management opportunity is enhanced oil recovery, which could potentially led to production of an additional 2 to 3 million barrels of oil per day, assuming a technically recoverable reserve base of up to 89 billion barrels in 10 basins.”

The diversity of our nation's coal resources continue to provide our nation with coveted options for energy, economic and national security.
NCC Member Focus

This month NCC’s Member Focus features Greg Workman who for several years now has done yeoman’s work for the NCC as Chair of the Finance Committee ~ a thankless task indeed … but we’ll try. Thank you Greg for your steadfast and dedicated services in crunching our numbers, monitoring the bottom line and somehow remaining gracious and in good humor throughout the process!

Gregory A. Workman is Director of Fuels for Dominion Generation and is responsible for fuel procurement for Virginia Electric and Power Company, which operates in North Carolina as Dominion North Carolina Power.

The Fuels Group handles the procurement, scheduling, transportation and inventory management for the coal, natural gas, biomass and oil consumed at the Company’s power stations. Additionally, the group procures and schedules the delivery of lime, limestone, ammonia and urea used as emission reduction products at the power stations. Greg’s team also has overall responsibility for Dominion’s emission allowance trading and renewable energy credit programs.


Prior to joining Dominion, Greg held positions in Finance, Operations, Coal Marketing and Strategic Planning for Norfolk Southern from 1990 to 2001.

Greg has served as a member of the National Coal Council since 2007. He has testified numerous times before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), Virginia State Corporation Commission, North Carolina Public Utility Commission and the State of Ohio Legislature.

Greg has served as Vice President of the Philadelphia Coal Club, on the Board of Directors for the Roanoke Valley Consumers Credit Counseling Services and as a leader of the Roanoke Valley United Way Campaign. He has a degree in Business Administration from Fairmont State University and a master’s degree from West Virginia University.

As is evident from the sidebar article, Greg has a significant variety and breadth of experience in both the utility and coal transportation industries ~ all of which contribute greatly to the National Coal Council’s membership.
CLIMATE CHANGE

Debate Over Climate Change Expected to Intensity in 2014

The debate over climate change policy is expected to "heat up" in the coming year as major aspects of the President’s climate plan come to fruition, according to the Capitol Hill newspaper The Hill. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is expected to announce landmark final standards intended to cut carbon emissions from coal-fired power plants, the publication noted, after lawmakers and industry groups “give their two cents on the issue.” Republicans will continue to denounce the Administration’s “war on coal,” The Hill said, with the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee to begin hearings on the President’s climate agenda in mid-January. Separately, the newspaper rated climate change as the top energy story of 2013, beginning with its prominent mention in the President’s second inaugural address.

Podesta’s Return to White House May Elevate Climate Issue

The return of former Clinton White House chief of staff John Podesta to the Executive Mansion, where he will serve for a year as senior counselor to President Obama, is expected to further elevate the climate change issue, several publications suggested. According to a column in The New York Times, Podesta’s main task will be to give the Environmental Protection Agency the support it needs to devise new rules controlling greenhouse gases” from power plants, “a complex and politically fraught task.” Meanwhile, The Hill quoted White House press secretary Jay Carney as saying Podesta will help implement “executive actions where necessary when we can’t get cooperation out of Congress.” While this would raise the stakes in Washington, provoking a clash with Republicans, Bloomberg indicated, Podesta “may find a receptive audience in the White House.”

Science Advisors Question EPA’s Stance on CCS Issue

The Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Science Advisory Board has raised questions about whether EPA appropriately reviewed the carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) issue before deciding it is commercially available for new power plants. According to Politico, the board has decided to send the issue back to a smaller workgroup, which will conduct informal discussions with EPA staff and report back. While EPA has said it did not rely on new science for proposals that would require CCS to cut emissions at new coal-fired power plants, Politico reported, some advisory board members are not sure, “and believe that the technical basis for the rule could use an independent look.” Panel members were unsure of the legal boundaries of EPA’s decision, the publication said, “especially whether it was proper for EPA to be forcing new technology or picking technology that was already readily available.”

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

White House Delayed Key Rules Before 2012 Election, Newspaper Asserts

The White House “systematically delayed” enacting a series of rules on the environment and other key issues before the 2012 election to prevent them from becoming points of contention, according to an article in The Washington Post. Affected EPA rules included carbon dioxide limits for existing power plants and coal ash disposal. The Post specified. The item, based on documents and interviews with current and former Administration officials, asserted that some agency staffers were told to delay submitting proposals to the White House for up to a year to ensure they would not be issued before the election. While the Administration has contended that any delays were coincidental and made without regard to politics, the article’s sources said they were in fact clearly political.

Todd H. Cunningham, who writes the “Coal Currents” column for the Council’s monthly National Coal Advisory, is available for additional writing projects involving coal and other energy policy issues. For information on Todd’s background and experience, see his LinkedIn profile at www.linkedin.com. To discuss your editorial needs, contact Todd via email at tcunningham03@comcast.net.
Coal Currents (continued)
ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION (continued)

Report Says Administration Tried to Edit Report on Regs’ Job Impacts

The Obama Administration attempted to edit a report on stream protection regulations to lower job loss estimates, according to an official investigation, The Washington Times reported. The article cited 2011 press reports that a company had claimed the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) asked it to change variables in a calculation that indicated new environmental regulations requiring 100-foot buffers around streams for mountaintop mining spoil would lead to large losses in employment – an estimated 7,000 jobs. The Interior Department inspector general’s investigation confirmed OSM personnel requested a change, The Times said. The company refused to comply and its contract was terminated shortly thereafter, although the newspaper reported the inspector general was unable to confirm this was in direct retaliation for its refusal, nor whether the job loss estimate was accurate.

Court Hears Arguments on EPA’s Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR)

The U.S. Supreme Court has heard oral arguments on the Environmental Protection Agency’s Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, which would limit emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides in 28 states that blow into neighboring jurisdictions. All of the affected states are in the eastern two-thirds of the U.S. The cross-state rule was struck down by a lower court, Bloomberg noted, and has never gone into effect; EPA is continuing to enforce a 2005 measure, the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), until the cross-state rule can be replaced. Fourteen states are challenging the rule, arguing that EPA did not give them a chance to put their own plans in place before imposing a nationwide standard. According to Bloomberg, questions and comments from the court’s four Democratic appointees, at times joined by two Republicans, “hinted they might revive” one of the President’s biggest air-quality efforts.

States Offer Carbon-Cutting Policies for EPA Adoption

Fifteen states have urged the EPA to adopt their policies for cutting power plants’ carbon emissions as a template for future federal rules. According to a Reuters report, states such as Colorado, Illinois and Minnesota said their states had cut plants’ emissions by one-fifth in the past seven years. The recommended approach? “Reducing demand for fossil generation or providing alternative, cleaner sources of supply, achieves emissions reductions far beyond the level that can be achieved by improving the operations of individual fossil plants.” They urged EPA to recognize that states start from different positions on cutting carbon, but to set equally tough final targets for all. Coal-reliant states such as West Virginia differed, however, and lawmakers from states dependent on fossil fuels have accused EPA of shutting them out of public meetings to discuss the future regulations, Reuters reported.

Federal Regulators OK BNSF Railway’s Coal Loading Rules

BNSF Railway can require coal shippers to use certain methods to reduce the amount of coal dust lost from rail cars leaving coal mines in Montana and Wyoming, the federal Surface Transportation Board (STB) has decided. According to Bloomberg Businessweek, the regulators ruled that shippers challenging BNSF’s July 2012 coal-loading rules had not shown they were unreasonable. A railway representative said the coal loading rules were based on extensive field testing and effectively address coal dust issues. However, the AP item noted, environmental groups have taken BNSF and several top coal producers to federal court, alleging violations of the Clean Water Act. The greens assert that coal trains discharge coal and other pollutants into waterways in Washington State, although a railway representative dismissed the suit as “a publicity stunt” to stop coal exports from the Northwest.

BY THE NUMBERS
EIA Offers Range of Coal, Natural Gas Figures

The share of U.S. electricity generated by coal is expected to end 2013 at 39.3%, an increase from 2012’s 37.4% but a drop from the five-year high of 44.8% in 2010, according to the Energy Information Administration’s (EIA) final Short Term Energy Outlook of the year. Meanwhile, the share of the nation’s electricity generated by natural gas is projected to end 2013 at 27.5%, down from its all-time high of 30.3% in 2012. The nominal average coal price to the electric power industry will drop to $2.35/MMBtu in 2013, down from $2.40/MMBtu in 2012, while natural gas prices are expected to finish the year averaging $3.69/MMBtu, up 34.2% from an annual average of $2.75/MMBtu in 2012.
COAL CURRENTS (CONTINUED)

ENERGY ISSUES

Closure of Coal-Fired Plants Will Threaten Midwest’s Power Supply

The closure of coal-fired power plants due to new environmental rules and conversion to cheaper natural gas fuel “appears likely” to result in a shortage of electricity generation in the Midwest by 2016, a House Energy and Commerce Subcommittee was told. According to the BNA Daily Report for Executives, the oversight session coincided with a meeting of a Midwest regional grid operator working group, which found a “high probability” of a 7.5-gigawatt shortfall by the summer of that year. Acting Chairman Cheryl LaFleur of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) told lawmakers that the finding represents “an early warning signal ... that needs close vigilance.” Subcommittee Chairman Ed Whitfield (R-KY) laid the blame for the threat on “the President's policies directly aimed at trying to bankrupt the coal industry.”

New Study Looks at Price Impact of Coal Plant Retirements

The retirement of coal-fired power plants could increase electricity prices by $3–4 per megawatt-hour for on-peak hours and $1–2/MWh for off-peak hours, The Brattle Group reported. However, it added, if natural gas prices also rise, energy prices could increase by as much as $9–11/MWh for on-peak hours and $5–6/MWh for off-peak hours. The report, “Coal Plant Retirements -- Feedback Effects on Wholesale Electricity Prices,” looked at the likely causes and magnitudes of feedback effects of coal plant retirements on short- and long-term wholesale power prices, using the PJM region as a case study. The Brattle Group expects the effects of retirements and high gas prices to reduce in force after 5 or 10 years a result of markets adding new resources.

Older Coal Plants Can Be Part of Cleaner Network, Study Says

A report by the Department of Energy’s National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and Intertek has concluded that if coal-fired power plants could become more flexible, by cycling on and off and running at lower outputs, they could be used with variable energy sources such as solar and wind and become part of a cleaner energy network. According to the website Blue and Green Tomorrow, the report, for the 21st Century Power Partnership, acknowledged that cycling damages coal plants and impacts their life expectancy. However, it added, this impact could be minimized through the use of strategic modifications and proactive inspections. A case study in the report confirms that older coal units can be adapted to meet today’s needs, one of its lead authors, NREL’s Jaquelin Cochran, said.

INTERNATIONAL INTEREST

Export-Import Bank to Restrict Financing of Coal-Fired Power Plants

The U.S. Export-Import Bank has announced adoption of new guidelines that restrict financing for the overseas construction of coal-fired power plants unless they utilize carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology. The policy makes an exception for coal plant projects in the poorest nations, if no other economically feasible alternative exists and if the plant will use the most efficient technology available. According to The Hill, Ex-Im’s policy change follows the Treasury Department’s adoption of the President’s climate plan in its work with multilateral development banks on cutting financing for coal-fired power plants overseas. Meanwhile, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development adopted similar guidelines, saying that it will fund coal-burning power plants only in “rare and exceptional circumstances,” Bloomberg Businessweek reported.

ON CAPITOL HILL

Battle Over EPA Carbon Emissions Reg Slows Hill Budget Talks

“A bruising partisan battle” over the new EPA regulation intended to sharply reduce carbon dioxide emissions at new coal-fired power plants has slowed the pace of Congressional negotiations on a $1 trillion annual spending bill, The Fiscal Times reported. The bill is essential to averting another government shutdown in mid-January, the publication said. It noted that House Republicans are pressing for an amendment to block or impede implementation of the EPA regulation, which would require new coal-burning plants to capture at least 40% of their carbon dioxide emissions. “The battle over the EPA rule and its impact on the crucial spending talks underscores the continued political potency of the coal industry and its allies,” The Times said.